I. Introduction

Motivation

We propose a new paradigm for autonomous driving. The new paradigm lies between end-to-end and pipelined approaches and it inspired by how humans solve the problem. We denote this new paradigm as action-inducing since changes in the object states can trigger vehicle actions. Also, a set of explanations for actions are introduced.

Contribution

- A large dataset annotated for both driving command and explanations
- A multi-task formulation of the action recognition problem
- A CNN architecture as the solution
- An experimental evaluation showing the effectiveness of explanations improvement for autonomous driving

II. Object-Inducing Learning

Object-Inducing Action Prediction with Explanation

\( \phi : X \rightarrow (A, E) \subseteq \{0,1\}^3 \times \{0,1\}^T \).  

BDD-OIA Dataset

- An extension of BDD100K dataset with newly annotated actions and explanations for each scenario.

III. Method

Overall Architecture

- The Faster R-CNN is used to extract backbone features, which are fed into a global and a local branch. The Global Module generates a global map that provides scene context, while the local branch captures the details of action-inducing objects. In the local branch, a selector module outputs a score for each object feature tensor and associated global context information. The top action-inducing objects are selected and the features from the two branches are concatenated for action and explanation prediction.

- Multi-task Learning

\( L = \mathcal{L}_A + \lambda \mathcal{L}_E, \)  

Implementation Details

- Global Module: This module generates global features \( t_g \) from the Faster R-CNN backbone features. It is composed of two convolutional layers with ReLU activation functions plus a local average pooling operation.

- Action-Inducing Object Selection Module: This module is used to pick action-inducing objects from all object proposals produced by the Faster R-CNN. \( n \) local feature tensors \( t_l \) are first extracted from the proposal locations and concatenated with the global feature tensor \( t_g \) to form an object-scene tensor \( t_j \). A selector \( f \) then chooses the action-inducing objects from this tensor.

- Behind these: Two visualizations derived from the input image are shown below. Heatmap is the output from the global module. The combination of local and global features and end-to-end supervision enables the network to reason about scene-object relationships and produce a global feature map more selective of action-inducing objects than the backbone feature maps.

Evaluation Metrics

\[ F_{1\text{all}} = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{j=1}^{|A|} F_1(A_j, A_j), \]  

\[ mF_1 = \text{mean}(F_1_j). \]  

IV. Experiment

Interplay between Actions and Explanations

- Action and explanation prediction performance as a function of the importance of each task (determined by \( \lambda \)) on the loss of (2). Labels denote “move forward” (F), “stop/slow down” (S), “turn/change lane to the left” (L), and “turn/change lane to the right” (R). \( \lambda \) stands for action and \( \lambda \) stands for explanation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>( F )</th>
<th>( S )</th>
<th>( L )</th>
<th>( R )</th>
<th>( A )</th>
<th>( mF_1 )</th>
<th>( \lambda \text{F}_{1\text{all}} )</th>
<th>( \lambda \text{F}_{1\text{all}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.718</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interplay between Local and Global Features

- Action and explanation prediction performance as a function of the importance of each task (determined by \( \lambda \)) on the loss of (4). Labels denote “move forward” (F), “stop/slow down” (S), “turn/change lane to the left” (L), and “turn/change lane to the right” (R). \( \lambda \) stands for action and \( \lambda \) stands for explanation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>( F )</th>
<th>( S )</th>
<th>( L )</th>
<th>( R )</th>
<th>( \text{Local} )</th>
<th>( \lambda \text{F}_{1\text{all}} )</th>
<th>( \lambda \text{F}_{1\text{all}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model Comparisons

- Examples of network predictions, objects selected as action-inducing, and explanations. Yellow bounding boxes identify the objects detected by the Faster R-CNN, while red bounding boxes identify the objects selected as action-inducing by the proposed network. “G” stands for ground truth and “P” for prediction. For explanations, green indicates true positives, red false positives, and gray false negatives (i.e., valid explanations not predicted).

V. Visualization

Examples of network predictions, objects selected as action-inducing, and explanations. Yellow bounding boxes identify the objects detected by the Faster R-CNN, while red bounding boxes identify the objects selected as action-inducing by the proposed network. “G” stands for ground truth and “P” for prediction. For explanations, green indicates true positives, red false positives, and gray false negatives (i.e., valid explanations not predicted).